

FENCING.

The Fencing Competitions at the Olympic Games consisted of five events, which will be described here in the following order : Épée Individual, Épée Team Fight, Sabre Individual, Sabre Team Fight, Foil Display.

Foil play, not being in the opinion of the organisers a form of sport which is improved by competition, was given a special place in the Olympic programme, and each competing country was asked to select two representatives, to whom a medal and diploma were awarded, and a display was arranged in the Prince's Galleries, Piccadilly, by Mr. W. H. C. Staveley, which proved extremely successful.

Especial attention may be drawn to the Code of Rules drawn up for the Fencing competitions, and printed in subsequent pages of this Report. It was the first of its kind to be printed in English, French, and German, and is the most complete ever published. Its value, which is worthy of comparison with the Olympic Swimming Code, the best of its kind, is chiefly due to the care bestowed by the Olympic Committee of the Amateur Fencing Association upon the development and improvement of the first draft drawn up by one of their representatives on the British Olympic Council, and submitted by him to the International Committee at The Hague.

The competitions in épée and sabre were carried out on a special terrain close to the Stadium, the excellent planning of which was almost entirely due to Mr. A. V. Buckland. The drawing and section of the tent and its arrangements are given in later pages as an example of what can be done for open-air fencing upon gravel in the vicissitudes of our English climate. Though every sport in these Games was admirably supported by its officials, a special note is permissible on the hard and exacting work of those who assisted the judging in Fencing. France won both épée events and Hungary took both sabre prizes.

The results were satisfactory in that the two international events were won by the best teams, and, though the victory of Herr Fuchs in the sabres was so narrow that it might not be repeated, few could doubt that on the week's form M. Alibert was the best épéeist, and thoroughly deserved his success. Indeed, his feat of passing scatheless through the team fights against Britain and Belgium on behalf of his country was perhaps finer than anything he did for himself during the meeting.

As regards British fencers, it may be said on the whole that, though France won both events in the épée, our team, in taking second place, did well, and that the fact that three out of the eight who survived into the final pool of the individual competitions were Englishmen was most satisfactory. But the same cannot be said of the sabre contests. Our team proved to be one of the weakest, and in the individual fights one British representative alone survived to the semi-final, and he was not expected to do so well as some of the others.

Certainly nothing like the beautiful sabre play of the Hungarians, the winners, or of the Bohemians, has hitherto been seen in England, and even the French champion, the Comte de la Falaise, was almost out-classed in the final round of the individual fights. Perhaps one of the most striking features of the Hungarian play was the defence to an attack pressed home. Some of the parries were astonishing.

The choice of Mr. W. H. C. Staveley as President of the Final pool in the Épée Team and Individual Competitions—an honour which he also held at the Crystal Palace Tournament some years previously—was highly appreciated all over England as a tribute to the knowledge and justice of our swordsmen from those countries in which fencing has been far longer established as an international sport. H.R.H. Princess Louise, the Duke of Argyll, the Duke of Connaught, Princess Patricia of Connaught, and the Crown Prince of Sweden were among those who visited the Fencing-ground at various times during the Games.

I.—ÉPÉE INDIVIDUAL.

FIRST ROUND.

In the individual épée contest the United Kingdom was represented by Mr. C. L. Daniell, the amateur champion, Mr. M. V. Holt, Mr. R. Montgomerie, Mr. E. Seligman, Mr. Cecil H. Haig, Mr. S. Martineau, Mr. E. M. Amphlett, Mr. L. V. Fildes, Mr. J. P. Blake, Mr. R. Chalmers, Mr. P. M. Datson, and Mr. H. Davids. France had a particularly strong representation, including M. B. Gravier, the amateur champion, M. G. Bergcr, M. J. Stern, and Dr. Olivier ; and Belgium and Holland sent over such well-known swordsmen as M. Rom, M. Renard, M. Anspach, M. de Montigny, M. Bosman, M. Labouchere, and Captain Van Blijenberg. Of the British fencers who had been specially chosen for the team matches, all except Mr. Seligman were successful in the first round. Mr. Seligman's failure was unaccountable, and naturally caused disappointment. Of the other five, Mr. Davids was the sole survivor. Mr. Fildes fought well in pool No. 1, where the French champion was engaged ; and although six hits

were recorded against him, two of them were double hits. Mr. Blake did rather better in pool 3, where he tied for the fourth place with four hits against him, including a *coup double*. In pool No. 5 Mr. Davson also took the fourth place. His fight with M. Alibert, the formidable French amateur, was one of the best of the day. Mr. R. Chalmers, who lacks experience, fought pluckily in pool No. 8, and succeeded in making a tie for the third place with M. Rodocanachi, losing on the fight off. In this preliminary round France was most successful, obtaining five first places, three seconds, and two thirds. M. Dillon Kavanagh, the famous French foilist failed to arrive, and his countryman, M. Dubourdieu, failed to obtain a place in pool 7, which was won by Mr Daniell, the British champion. Belgium also came well out of the first round with two first places, four seconds, and two thirds, the record of the United Kingdom being three firsts, three seconds, and one third.

SCORES.

POOL 1.—JULY 17.

	Carlberg	Fildes	Gravier	Ostrup	Stohr	Tucek	Von Rosen	Total Hits Against	Winners
Carlberg ... S.		0	0	1	1	1	0	3	—
Fildes U.K.	1		1	1	X	1	X	6	—
Gravier ... F.	1	0		0	0	1	0	2	2
Ostrup ... D.	0	0	1		0	0	0	1	1
Stohr ... G.	0	X	1	1		1	1	5	—
Tucek ...Bo.	0	0	0	1	0		1	2	2
Von Rosen S.	1	X	1	1	0	0		3	—

Jury :—G. Breittmeyer (President), B. Cunliffe, F. Moore.

Scorer :—G. L. Blake.

POOL 2.—JULY 17.

	Collignon	Gates	Jack	V. Lada	Sarder	Speciale	Van Schrever	Total Hits Against	Winners
Collignon ... F.	/	1	0	0	0	0	X	2	2
Gates ... S.A.	0	/	X	1	1	1	X	5	—
Jack ... G.	1	X	/	1	0	1	1	5	—
V. Lada ... Bo.	1	0	0	/	0	0	0	1	1
Sander ... D.	1	0	1	1	/	1	0	4	—
Speciale ... I.	1	0	0	1	0	/	1	3	3
Van Schreven Hol.	X	X	0	1	1	0	/	4	—

Jury :—W. H. C. Staveley (President), A. Morin, Bela Nagy, G. Jacobs,
A. Rawlinson.

Scorer :—C. Hancock.

POOL 3.—JULY 17.

	Adams	Blake	Dwinger	Levison	Marais	Renard	Total Hits Against	Winners
Adams G.	/	1	0	1	1	1	4	—
Blake U.K.	0	/	X	1	1	1	4	—
Dwinger ... Hol.	1	X	/	0	1	1	4	—
Levison D.	0	0	1	/	1	0	2	2
Marais F.	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	1
Renard B.	0	0	0	1	1	/	2	2

Jury :—A. Pontenani (President), S. de Joux, E. B. Milnes, A. Fellows,
C. Trueman.

Scorer :—Mr. Bean.

POOL 4.—JULY 17.

	Becker	Berger	Bergsland	Dina	Holt	Sarrens	Tvrsky	Van Rossem	Total Hits Against	Winners
Becker ... D.	/	1	0	1	0	X	1	0	4	—
Berger ... F.	0	/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Bergsland ... N.	1	1	/	X	1	0	0	0	4	—
Dina ... I.	0	1	X	/	0	X	0	1	4	—
Holt ... U.K.	1	1	0	1	/	0	1	0	4	2
Sarrens ... Bel.	X	1	1	X	1	/	1	0	6	—
Tvrsky ... Bo.	0	1	1	1	0	0	/	X	4	2
Van Rossem Hol.	1	1	1	0	1	1	X	/	6	—

	1	2	3	4	5	—		1	2	3	4	—
Becker ... 1	/	0	X	1	0	2	Becker ... 1	/	0	1	1	2
Bergsland ... 2	1	/	0	1	1	3	Dina ... 2	1	/	1	0	2
Dina ... 3	X	1	/	0	0	2	Hol ... 3	0	0	/	1	1
Holt ... 4	0	0	1	/	1	2	Tvrsky ... 4	0	1	0	/	1
Tvrsky ... 5	1	0	1	0	/	2	—	—	—	—	—	—

Jury :—J. Jenkinson (President), E. Brierley, P. Ettlinger.

Scorer :— C. Montgomerie.

POOL 5.—JULY 17.

	Alibert	Davson	De Montigny	Krunert	P. Lada	Osier	Ceccherini	Van Loben Sels	Total Hits Against	Winners
Alibert ... F.		0	0	0	0	0	0	X	1	1
Davson ... U.K.	1		0	0	1	1	0	1	4	
De Montigny Bel.	1	1		0	0	0	X	0	3	2
Krunert ... G.	1	1	1		X	1	1	0	6	—
P. Lada ... Bo.	1	0	1	X		1	1	0	5	—
Osier ... D.	1	0	1	0	0		0	X	3	2
Ceccherini ... I.	1	1	X	0	0	1		0	4	—
Van Loben Sels Hol.	X	0	1	1	1	X	1		6	—

Jury :—Dr. Nagy (President), A. Morin, W. H. C. Staveley, G. Jacobs, C. H. Biscoe.
Scorer :—A. C. Amy.

POOL 6.—JULY 17.

	Cagiati	Martineau	Naumann	Peyron	Rom	Schjetal	Total Hits Against	Winners
Cagiati... ... S.		0	0	X	1	0	2	2
Martineau ... U.K.	1		0	0	0	1	2	2
Naumann ... G.	1	1		1	1	0	4	—
Peyron ... S.	X	1	0		1	0	3	—
Rom Bel.	0	1	0	0		0	1	1
Schjetal ... Bo.	1	0	1	1	1		4	—

Jury :—B. Cunliffe (President), G. Breittmeyer, F. Moore, G. Alexander,
A. Rawlinson.
Scorer :—G. L. Blake.

POOL 7.—JULY 17.

	Bosman	Von Blijenburg	Daniell	G. de Lobsdorf	Dubordieu	Foldes	Schon	Total Hits Against	Winners
Bosman Bel.	/	0	1	0	X	0	1	3	3
Von Blijenburg Hol.	1	/	1	1	1	1	X	6	—
Daniell U.K.	0	0	/	1	0	0	0	1	1
G. de Lobsdorf Bo.	1	0	0	/	0	0	1	2	2
Dubordieu ... F.	X	0	1	1	/	0	0	3	—
Foldes Hun.	1	0	1	1	1	/	1	5	—
Schon G.	0	X	1	0	1	0	/	3	—

On the tie for third place being fought off, Bosman beat Dubordieu and Schon.

Jury :—E. H. Milnes (President), D. Gordon, C. Trueman, A. Fellows, S. de Joux.

Scorer :—W. Bean.

POOL 8.—JULY 17.

	Anspach	Chalmers	Tucek	De Bary	Labouchere	Biroli	Rodocanachi	Total Hits Against	Winners
Anspach Bel.	/	0	0	X	1	0	0	2	2
Chalmers U.K.	1	/	0	0	1	0	1	3	—
Tucek ... Bo.	1	1	/	1	1	1	1	6	—
De Bary ... G.	X	1	0	/	1	X	0	4	—
Labouchere Hol.	0	0	0	0	/	1	0	1	1
Biroli ... I.	1	1	0	X	0	/	1	4	—
Rodocanachi F.	1	0	0	1	1	0	/	3	3

On the tie for third place being fought off, Rodocanachi beat Chalmers.

Jury :—P. Ettlinger (President), A. Rawlinson, Van der Lille.

Scorer :—G. L. Blake.

POOL 9.—JULY 18.

	Petri	Jorgensen	Lindblom	Mangiarotti	Montgomerie	Nobbs	Quenessen	Total Hits Against	Winners
Petri ... G.	/	1	1	X	1	X	1	6	—
Jorgensen .. D.	0	/	X	1	1	0	1	4	—
Lindblom S.	0	X	/	X	1	0	0	3	2
Mangiarotti I.	X	0	X	/	1	0	0	3	—
Montgomerie U.K.	0	0	0	0	/	X	0	1	1
Nobbs Canada	X	1	1	1	X	/	1	6	—
Quenessen F.	0	0	1	1	1	0	/	3	2

On the tie for second and third places being fought off, Mangiarotti was beaten by Lindblom and Quenessen.

Jury :—G. Breittmeyer (President), D. Gordon, E. B. Milnes.

Scorer :—G. H. Ramsbottom.

POOL 10.—JULY 18.

	Amphlett	Doorman	Moldenhauer	Olivier	Sarzano	Zulavsky	Total Hits Against	Winners
Amphlett ... U.K.	/	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Doorman ... Hol.	1	/	0	0	0	0	1	1
Moldenhauer...	1	1	/	1	1	0	4	—
Olivier ... F.	1	1	0	/	0	0	2	3
Sarzano ... I.	1	1	0	1	/	X	4	—
Zulavsky ... Hun.	0	1	1	1	X	/	4	—

Jury :—W. H. C. Staveley (President), A. Morin, H. Butterworth, B. Nagy, C. Moore.

Scorer :—C. Montgomerie.

POOL 11.—JULY 18.

	Bertinetti	Branting	Haig	Le Blon	Okker	Total Hits Against	Winners
Bertinetti I.	/	0	1	0	0	1	1
Branting... .. S.	1	/	1	1	1	4	—
Haig U.K.	0	0	/	1	1	2	3
Le Blon... .. Bel.	1	0	0	/	X	2	2
Okker Hol.	1	0	0	X	/	2	—

On the tie for second and third places being fought off, Okker was beaten by Haig and Le Blon.

Jury :—B. Cunliffe (President), G. Alexander, G. Jacobs, F. Trueman, E. C. Brierley.

Scorer :—A. L. Todhunter.

POOL 12.—JULY 18.

	Cratingius	Lippmann	Nowak	Olson	Seligman	Stuyck	Total Hits Against	Winners
Cratingius ... G.	/	1	1	0	1	1	4	—
Lippmann ... F.	0	/	0	0	0	1	1	1
Nowak I.	0	1	/	0	0	1	2	3
Olson S.	1	1	1	/	X	1	5	—
Seligman ... U.K.	0	1	1	X	/	X	4	—
Stuyck Bel.	0	0	0	0	X	/	1	1

Jury :—J. Jenkinson (President), W. Wright, F. Moore, S. de Joux.

Scorer :—A. C. Amy.

POOL 13.—JULY 18.

	Davids	Langenhove	Lichtenfels	Toth	Stern	Total Hits Against	Winners
Davids U.K.	—	1	0	0	1	2	2
Langenhove Bel.	0	—	0	1	1	2	2
Lichtenfels G.	1	1	—	0	X	3	—
Toth Hun.	1	0	1	—	1	3	—
Stern F.	0	0	X	0	—	1	1

Jury :—G. Breittmeyer (President), E. B. Milnes, A. Pontenani, R. Doyne,
W. H. C. Staveley.

Scorer :—G. Alexander.

SECOND ROUND.

In the second round there were seven pools of five fencers and one of four, and two competitors from each pool were admitted to the semi-final round. The Belgians came well to the front, equalling the score of the French with three first places and two seconds. Half of the Frenchmen who took part in this round failed to make further progress, and among them was M. Gravier, the French champion. A similar fate befell the United Kingdom, for only three of the seven Englishmen survived, the British champion being one of those who joined the majority. His defeat was a keen disappointment to those who were interested in British swordsmanship. But there was consolation to be found in the brilliant victory of Mr. Holt and Mr. R. Montgomerie in pool No. 4, where they met the French champion, his countryman M. Marais, and M. Tvrsky, of Bohemia. The Englishmen first faced each other, and Mr. Montgomerie won the fight. But this was the only assault which Mr. Holt lost. His judgment of time and distances was never at fault, and, making good use of his long reach, he defeated each of his foreign competitors with a *coup d'arrêt* on their attack. Mr. Montgomerie lost to M. Marais and M. Tvrsky, but he defeated M. Gravier, with whom he made a tie. The fight for second place was splendidly contested, and roused the spectators to a high pitch of excitement. Both Mr. Montgomerie and the French champion fought

with great skill and determination. After a long struggle M. Gravier appeared to be hit on the body, but the judges could not agree, and the fight was accordingly resumed. Finally, Mr. Montgomerie hit his antagonist on the leg, and thus won the second place. Mr. Haig also defeated two Frenchmen in pool No. 8, Dr. Olivier and M. Quenessen, but he lost to Signor Cagiali, of Italy, and tied for the first place with Dr. Olivier. Of the others, Mr. Daniell, the English champion, began well in pool No. 5 by defeating Signor Bertinetti, of Italy, and M. V. Lada, of Bohemia. Then he had to face M. Lippman, who came second to M. Gravier for the French championship in 1908, and a well-fought assault ended in a double hit. In his last fight he met M. Lindblom, of Sweden, who, soon after coming on guard, made an attack on the lunge. Mr. Daniell seemed unprepared for it. At all events, he failed effectually to parry the attack, and was hit on the leg. With two points against him he took the third place, M. Lippman and M. Lindblom being equal firsts with one hit against each. Of the other Englishmen engaged, both Mr. Amphlett and Mr. Martineau were rather unlucky in losing their places, and Mr. Davids was unsuccessful in a particularly strong pool, in which M. Alibert and M. Berger, two leading French swordsmen, took the first and second places.

SCORES.

POOL I.—JULY 20.

	Le Blon	Stuyck	Stern	Doorman	Levison	Total Hits Against	Winners
Le Blon Bel.		0	X	0	0	1	1
Stuyck Bel.	1		1	1	0	3	—
Stern F.	X	0		0	X	2	2
Doorman Hol.	1	0	1		0	2	—
Levison D.	1	1	X	1		4	—

On the tie for second place being fought off, Stern beat Doorman.

Jury :—W. H. C. Staveley (President), C. Hjorth, E. B. Milnes.

Scorer :—A. E. Syson.

POOL 2.—JULY 20.

	Osier	Renard	Rodocanachi	Amphlett	Ostrup	Total Hits Against	Winners
Osier D.		0	0	1	1	2	—
Renard Bel.	1		0	0	1	2	2
Rodocanachi F.	1	1		0	0	2	—
Amphlett U.K.	0	1	1		1	3	—
Ostrup D.	0	0	1	0		1	1

On the tie for second place being fought off, Renard beat Osier and Rodocanachi.

Jury :—G. Breittmeyer (President), F. Moore, H. Butterworth.

Scorer :—A. C. Amy.

POOL 3.—JULY 20.

	Speciale	Alibert	Berger	De Lobsdorf	Davids	Total Hits Against	Winners
Speciale I.		1	1	1	0	3	—
Alibert F.	0		0	0	0	0	1
Berger F.	0	1		0	X	2	2
De Lobsdorf Bo.	0	1	1		0	2	--
Davids U.K.	1	1	X	1		4	—

On the tie for second place being fought off, Berger beat De Lobsdorf.

Jury :—Dr. Nagy (President), J. Jenkinson, G. Alexander, C. Moore, E. Brierley.

Scorer :—G. L. Blake.

POOL 4.—JULY 20.

	Marais	Holt	Tvrsky	Montgomerie	Gravier	Total Hits Against	Winners
Marais F.	/	1	1	0	1	3	—
Holt U.K.	0	/	0	1	0	1	1
Tvrsky Bo.	0	1	/	0	1	2	—
Montgomerie U.K.	1	0	1	/	0	2	2
Gravier F.	0	1	0	1	/	2	—

On the tie for second place being fought off, Montgomerie beat Tvrsky and Gravier.

Jury :—B. Cunliffe (President), A. Pontanai, H. Pollock, G. Jacobs.

Scorer :—C. Montgomerie.

POOL 5.—JULY 20.

	Bertinetti	Daniell	V. Lada	Lippmann	Lindblom	Total Hits Against	Winners
Bertinetti I.	/	1	0	1	1	3	—
Daniell... .. U.K.	0	/	0	X	1	2	—
V. Lada Bo.	1	1	/	1	1	4	—
Lippman F.	0	X	0	/	0	1	1
Lindblom S.	0	0	0	1	/	1	1

Jury :—G. Breittmeyer (President), B. Cunliffe, E. B. Milnes.

Scorer :—G. L. Blake.

POOL 6.—JULY 20.

	Langenhove	Bosman	Collignon	Rom	Nowak	Total Hits Against	Winners
Langenhove Bel.	X	0	1	1	3	—	
Bosman Bel.	X	X	0	0	2	2	
Collignon F.	1	1	X	1	0	3	—
Rom Bel.	0	1	0	X	0	1	1
Nowak I.	0	X	1	1	X	3	—

Jury :—Dr. Nagy (President), J. Jenkinson, P. Ettlinger.

Scorer :—D. Gordon.

POOL 7.—JULY 20.

	Anspach	De Montigny	Tucek	Labouchere	Martineau	Total Hits Against	Winners
Anspach Bel.	X	0	1	0	0	1	1
De Montigny Bel.	1	X	0	1	0	2	—
Tucek Bo.	0	1	X	1	1	3	—
Labouchere Hol.	1	0	0	X	1	2	2
Martineau U.K.	1	1	0	0	X	2	—

On the tie for second place being fought off, Labouchere beat De Montigny and Martineau.

Jury :—A. Pontenani (President), C. Moore, C. F. Clay, G. Alexander,
H. Butterworth.

Scorer :—F. J. Brett.

POOL 8.—JULY 20.

	Olivier	Cagiati	Haig	Quenessen	Total Hits Against	Winners
Olivier F.	—	0	1	0	1	1
Cagiati I.	1	—	0	1	2	—
Haig U.K.	0	1	—	0	1	1
Quenessen F.	1	0	1	—	2	—

Jury :—R. Doyme (President), G. Jacobs, F. Moore, A. Rawlinson, A. Morin.
Scorer :—G. B. Dibblee.

SEMI-FINAL ROUND.

Of the three Englishmen engaged in the semi-final round of the individual épée contest, Mr. M. V. Holt had the best of the luck in the draw, for Mr. R. Montgomerie and Mr. C. H. Haig were placed in by far the stronger pool. But it was a fine performance for Mr. Holt to come second to M. Anspach, the accomplished Belgian swordsman, and thus to obtain a better record than M. Lippman, Dr. Olivier, and M. Stern, three members of the famous French team. Mr. C. H. Haig's performance was even more creditable, for with M. Labouchere, the formidable Dutch *tireur gaucher*, he became second to M. Alibert, the ultimate winner, and defeated M. Bosman, M. Renard, Mr. Montgomerie, and M. Berger (the French captain), of whom the latter failed to obtain a place. Mr. Haig's success was particularly gratifying, for he has been in excellent form this year. With arm extended and point in line, he fought with spirit and confidence, and, judging his opportunities well, he obtained many hits on the arm and shoulder, with a disengage in *sixte* on the advance. But he was also skilful with his parries, and he made good use of an attack with a "bind" in *seconde*. He defeated M. Berger with this attack, but it failed against M. Alibert. Mr. R. Montgomerie, who had fought so well against the French in the team match, was again in excellent form, and made more use than is his wont of an *attaque en marchant* with opposition in *sixte*. He beat M. Labouchere with this attack, and stopped both M. Berger and M. Bosman with a hit on the sword arm. He failed to equal Mr. Haig's score by losing the bout to him, they made a simultaneous attack, but Mr. Montgomerie's point was wide. This was the fourth hit against him, but he qualified for a place in the final.

SCORES.

POOL 1.—JULY 23.

	Montgomerie	Haig	Bosman	Rom	Alibert	Labouchere	Berger	Renard	Total Hits Against	Winners
Montgomerie U.K.		1	0	1	1	0	0	1	4	4
Haig ... U.K.	0		0	1	1	1	0	0	3	2
Bosman ... Bel.	1	1		X	X	1	X	X	7	—
Rom ... Bel.	0	0	X		X	1	X	1	5	—
Alibert ... F.	0	0	X	X		0	0	0	2	1
Labouchere ... Hol.	1	0	0	0	1		1	0	3	2
Berger ... F.	1	1	X	X	1	0		0	5	—
Renard ... Hol.	0	1	X	0	1	1	1		5	—

Jury :—W. H. C. Staveley (President), F. Moore, E. B. Milnes, H. Butterworth,
A. Rawlinson.

Scorer :—G. L. Blake.

POOL 2.—JULY 23.

	Anspach	Lippmann	Holt	Olivier	Lindblom	Ostrup	Stern	Le Blon	Total Hits Against	Winners
Anspach Bel.		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	1
Lippmann F.	1		1	X	0	X	0	0	4	3
Holt ... U.K.	0	0		1	1	0	1	0	3	2
Olivier ... F.	1	X	0		X	1	0	0	4	4
Lindblom S.	1	1	0	X		X	0	1	5	—
Ostrup ... D.	1	X	1	0	X		0	X	5	—
Stern ... F.	0	1	0	1	1	1		0	4	—
Le Blon Bel.	1	1	1	1	0	X	1		6	—

On the tie for third and fourth places being fought off, Stern was beaten by Lippmann and Olivier, and Olivier was beaten by Lippmann.

Jury :—W. H. C. Staveley (President), Count de Soissons, G. Jacobs, E. B. Milnes, C. F. Clay.

Scorer :—G. L. Blake.

FINAL POOL.—JULY 24. Won by Alibert (France).

	Anspach	Holt	Lippmann	Olivier	Alibert	Labouchere	Haig	Montgomerie	Total Hits Against	Places
Anspach ... Bel.	/	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	5	5
Holt ... U.K.	0	/	1	1	1	1	1	X	6	8
Lippmann ... F.	0	0	/	0	1	X	0	1	3	2
Olivier ... F.	0	0	1	/	1	1	0	0	3	3
Alibert ... F.	0	0	0	0	/	X	0	X	2	1
Labouchere Hol.	1	0	X	0	X	/	1	1	5	5
Haig ... U.K.	1	0	1	1	1	0	/	1	5	5
Montgomerie U.K.	0	X	0	1	X	0	0	/	3	4

On the tie for second, third, and fourth places being fought off, Lippmann beat Olivier and Montgomerie, and Olivier beat Montgomerie.

Jury :—W. H. C. Staveley (President), F. Rom, G. Breittmeyer, Dr. Nagy, Van Loben Sels.

Scorer :—P. Doyne.

In the final pool Mr. R. Montgomerie was again in splendid form, and he made a great bid for victory. He avenged himself for his defeat by Mr. Haig in the previous round, and he was also successful against M. Anspach, M. Lippman, and M. Labouchere ; but he was beaten by Dr. Olivier, who avoided his attack in the high line by ducking, and got in a stop hit on the body, and a *coup double* was given in his assault with Mr. Holt. Meantime M. Alibert (France) had won all his fights except one, in which he had obtained a double hit with M. Labouchere. In the last fight of the pool Mr. Montgomerie had to beat M. Alibert in order to tie with him for the first place. It was an exciting and well-contested assault. Finally Mr. Montgomerie made his swift attack with opposition in *sixte*, but M. Alibert disengaged in the low line, and both were hit. It then became the duty of the judges to decide which hit was the first to arrive, and they announced a double hit. With only two double hits

against him, M. Alibert thus won the gold medal. He fenced finely throughout, and thoroughly deserved his victory. He was carried from the ground on the shoulders of his enthusiastic compatriots. With one defeat and two double hits against him, Mr. Montgomerie tied for the second place with M. Lippman and Dr. Olivier. Seemingly exhausted by his previous effort, he lost to them both and took the fourth place. M. Lippman beat Dr. Olivier, and won the silver medal, the other competitors in the final pool receiving bronze medals. Mr. Haig again fought well, but was successful only in his assaults with Mr. Holt and M. Labouchere. Mr. Holt was less effective than on the previous day, and his only success was in his bout with M. Anspach.

II.—ÉPÉE TEAM FIGHT.

[Eight to enter, four to fight, under the conditions published in the Rules.]

THE BYE.

UNITED KINGDOM BEAT HOLLAND.

For the match with Holland Mr. C. L. Daniell, Mr. M. V. Holt, Mr. R. Montgomerie, and Mr. E. Seligman were selected to fight for the United Kingdom. It was a well-contested match, in which the Dutch team made an excellent recovery from a bad start, and only missed the chance of a tie by losing the last assault. Mr. Daniell gave his side the lead by defeating M. de Jong in the first bout, parrying his attack in 'seconde' and riposting on the chest. Mr. Holt and M. Doorman never came to close quarters. They each played the game of *piquer à la main*, in which, for once, Mr. Holt came off second best. This was the only victory that Holland obtained in the first round, for Mr. Montgomerie disposed of M. Labouchere, the formidable *tireur gaucher*, with a hit on the leg after a spirited encounter, and Mr. Seligman parried M. von Rossem's attack in *sixte* and riposted on the chest. In the second round honours were divided. M. Doorman, who fenced with judgment and restraint, hit Mr. Montgomerie on the leg, and M. Labouchere defeated Mr. Seligman with a powerful attack, the latter failing to obtain a stop hit. Mr. Holt made an excellent stop on M. de Jong's attack, and Mr. Daniell defeated M. Rossem with a powerful *battement* and attack on the body. Halfway through the match the score was thus 5 to 3 in favour of the United Kingdom. In the next fight Mr. Montgomerie failed to make his point fix in a vigorous attack on M. de Jong, and lost the bout, and Mr. Seligman was hit *en pleine poitrine* by M. Doorman ; and when Mr. Daniell faced